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Abstract

Accurate electrocardiogram (ECG) parameters detection is an integral part of modern computerized ECG monitoring system. A growing concern that algorithms that diagnose ECG signals should be tested at different noise circumstances to verify algorithms’ reliability and efficiency of signal interpretation. This study investigates the accuracy and reliability of Hamilton-Tompkins (H-T) algorithm using simulated ECG signals generated by MATLAB. In the test process, randomly generated noises are added to simulated input signal to represent high-level noise surroundings. The algorithm is tested with noise contaminated ECG signals. Simulation results show that H-T algorithm’s accuracy in detecting the peaks is 100%, i.e., detects signal patterns every time it has been tested. The algorithm’s performance parameters diagnosis for Q, R and S wave peak reaches to 99.96%, 99.97% and 99.93% accuracy, respectively. Test results indicate the H-T algorithm is reliable in detecting accurate ECG signals at the aggravated noise surroundings.

Keywords
ECG, Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm, QRS complex.

1. Introduction

In recent years the usage of portable ECG devices and processing of ECG signal have drawn much attention because of the unusual circumstances under which the ECG devices may be used and signals are recorded. It is important to recognize accurate signals from the ECG devices in order to retrieve valuable information from cardiac patient at any circumstance. Advances in technology have brought a considerable increase in the number of portable wireless external battery operated ECG instruments in hospitals, ambulance, clinics, as well as home patients who may be engaged in everyday life. The potable ECG devices particularly facilitate real time ECG recording and analyze. The potable ECG devices are truly useful for cardiac patients who need continuous monitoring while they are engaged in daily activities at home or workplaces outside the caregiver facilities. The recording and collection of ECG data at portable devises are widely varied, ranges from one hour up to 180 days. An automated ECG signal analyzer is essential to retrieve such a vast data and detect the accurate signal information instantly in a short period of time. This instantaneous data analysis capability is an integral part of modern computerized ECG monitoring system. There are currently a number of algorithms available to analyze data collected at the portable ECG devices and identify the signals. It is very important that these algorithms perform invariably at any unusual situations. Researches often test the performance of many of these signal processing and recognizing algorithms under a variety of circumstances. In the developing countries, the portable ECG in the urban area increased significantly. Because of the population volume, environment, economy, slow communication and inadequate healthcare provider, cardiac patients often remain away from immediate treatment. It has become crucial for developing countries healthcare providers to increase technical capability to instantaneous heath service response ability to cardiac patient. Although the uses of portable ECG usage significantly increased, however the challenge is immense due to lack of communication facility and presence of the abundant noise in the surroundings. It became increasingly important to test the performance of these ECG recognition algorithms under extreme noise situation.

Recognize the ECG signal and then accurately detect and analyze ECG signals are essential to provide care services for cardiac patients. There are several algorithms commonly used to detect cardiac electric signals such as Multiplication of Backward Difference (MOBD), Okada, Pan-Tompkins, and Hamilton-Tompkins methods used for
reliable QRS detection. The Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm is one of the most popular QRS detection methods [4],
which is an improved variation of that originally proposed by Pan and Tompkins in 1985 [5] that uses a patient-
specific threshold for QRS peak detection. This study considers assessing the Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm ability
to detect ECG signals from noise and extract the corresponding parameters accurately. In the test procedure, the
input ECG signals and noise are simulated separately using MATLAB code. Random noise is generated with mean
zero and standard deviation one. This noise is added to the input ECG signal. The noise contaminated ECG is
applied as input to test the algorithm. The study then investigate the efficiency, accuracy and reliability of Hamilton-
Tompkins algorithm to recognize and accurately detect parameters, such as QRS complexes, based upon digital
analysis of slope, amplitude and width from in a simulated noisy signal. The algorithm has been tested with
simulated ECG waveform.

2. ECG Signals and Test Algorithm
Heart continuously produces electric signals through constant depolarization and the corresponding depolarization
systems. This electrical activity is measured by ECG signal, consists of Q, R and S wave, a complex wave system
occurs in rapid succession. In the QRS complex, a Q wave is any downward deflection after the P-wave (due to
depolarization, usually 0.08 to 0.1 seconds in duration). An R-wave is an upward deflection, and the S wave is any
downward deflection after the R-wave. ECG instruments are designed to enhance the ECG signal from the
background of noise and artifacts and make it possible to derive accurate parameters. Because of its specific shape,
the QRS complex serves as an entry point for almost all automated ECG analysis algorithms and detection of the
QRS complex is the most important task in automatic ECG signal analysis [1]. In ECG signal analysis, the main task
of an algorithm is to detect QRS complexes and the estimation of instantaneous heart rate by measuring the time
interval between two consecutive R-waves [2]. The ECG is recorded at a speed of 25 mm/sec, and the voltages are
calibrated so that 1 mV = 10 mm in the vertical direction. Therefore, each small 1-mm square represents 0.04 sec
(40 m-sec) in time and 0.1 mV in voltage [3]. A detail ECG tracing that produce different waves are shown in Figure
A.1, in Appendix.

The QRS complexes detection is a major challenge. The Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm for QRS detection is divided
into two sections. The preprocessor section performs linear and nonlinear filtering of the ECG signal and produces a
set of periodic vectors that describe events. The decision rule section operates on the output of the preprocessor,
classifies each event as either a QRS complex or noise, and saves the temporal location of each of the identified
QRS complexes. The decision rules for a QRS detector are generally built from a number of components each
having experimentally determined parameters. The most important task of the decision rule section is the
determination of detection thresholds. Other common components of QRS decision rules are blanking, where events
immediately following a QRS detection are ignored for a set time, search back, where previously rejected events are
reevaluated when a significant time has passed without a detection, and use of slope to distinguish between T waves
(due to ventricular repolarization) and early occurring ectopic beats.

2.1 Filtering
In ECG signals analysis, various filters are used to attenuate noise. The low-pass and high-pass filters together form
a band-pass filter that can be implemented with integer arithmetic to provide real-time operation. This is followed by
a differentiation, squaring, and time averaging of the signal. A separate derivative of the original ECG is used for T
wave discrimination. The low-pass filter is one of a class of filters described by Lynn, implemented with the
difference equation

\[ y(nT) = 2y(nT - T) - y(nT - 2T) + x(nT) - 2x(nT - 6T) + x(nT - 12T) \]  

(1)

where \( T \) is the sampling period and \( n \) is an arbitrary integer. The high-pass filter is implemented with the difference
equation

\[ y(nT) = x(nT - 16T) - [y(nT - T) + x(nT) - x(nT - 32T)]/32 \]  

(2)

The difference equation for the derivative is

\[ y(nT) = 2x(nT) - x(nT - T) - x(nT - 3T) - 2x(nT - 4T)/8 \]  

(3)

The nonlinear squaring function squares each output data point. Time averaging is done by adding together the 32
most recent values from the squaring function and dividing the total by 32.
2.2 Peak Detection
It is often easy to visually identify one large peak from a typical large waveform produced by the time-averaged window for a QRS complex. But, simple peak detection algorithms may falsely detect multiple peaks due to ripples in the wave. Although both peaks result from the same QRS complex, one peak is classified as resulting from a QRS complex, the other is classified as noise. The detector algorithm finds peaks in the final output of the filtering stages and stores the maximal levels encountered in the signal since the last peak detection. A new peak is defined only after a level is encountered that is less than half the height of the maximal, or peak level. Detection occurs halfway down the back side of the peak. This approach eliminates multiple detections from ripple around the wave peak. When ECG signals have prominent T waves, the time averaged waveform for a heart cycle sometimes appears as one long wave formed from the combination of waves produced by the QRS complex and the T wave. The time of occurrence of the peak detected in the preprocessed signal is important for placing the fiducial mark. With a prominent T wave, the detection may be delayed by the duration of the lengthened wave. To avoid this delay, in addition to the previously stated conditions for detection, a QRS is detected by the peak detector if 175 ms elapses from the occurrence of the maximal positive slope in the time-averaged signal.

2.3 Peak Level Estimation
The method of local peak level estimation is an important performance factor in the QRS detection algorithms that use adaptive detection thresholds. The relative performance of mean, median and iterative peak level estimators are considered. The mean estimator determines the local peak level as the mean of a specified number of past peaks whereas the median estimator uses the median peak level. The first-order iterative estimator has the general form

\[
\text{Estimate}(n) = (1 - A) \times \text{Estimate}(n-1) + A \times \text{Peak}(n)
\]  

where A is a positive coefficient less than one.

2.4 Peak Estimator Performance
One estimator may yield a consistently low peak prediction, and another with a better mean square error might give inconsistent predictions. The consistent predictor is preferable because it will produce less false positive and false negative detection if the proper relative detection threshold is used.

\[
\text{Detection threshold} = B \times \text{Peak level estimate}
\]  

The detection threshold coefficient B is set to values between zero and one. Any peaks larger than the detection threshold are classified as QRS complexes and are used to update the detection threshold. Noise peaks are ignored. In these tests of peak level estimators, a simple detection threshold scheme which relied only on the QRS peak level estimate is used. Both QRS peak and noise peak level estimates may be used to determine the detection threshold. The threshold equation has been used in [3] is the following,

\[
DT = NPL + TC \times (NPL - QRSPL)
\]  

where DT is the detection threshold, NPL is the noise peak level, TC is the threshold coefficient, and QRSPL is the QRS peak level.

3. Algorithm Testing and Performance Evaluation
The ECG simulator enables normal and abnormal ECG waveform analysis without actually using the ECG machine. In testing the algorithm, simulated ECG signals developed by a MATLAB simulator is used. The use of a simulator has many advantages. First, it is convenient to test the algorithm with any level of noise implication to represent surrounding noise inference without the real ECG may require invasive and non-invasive procedure. Second, simulator generates arbitrary heart beat rate with any level of amplitude for each wave and its peak. Noise due to electrodes is also simulated using this simulator. The parameter specifications of simulated ECG waveform which has been used for testing the parameter detection algorithm are shown in Table 1.
Table 1: Parameter specifications set for simulated ECG

| Heart beat: 72 | Amplitude | Q wave | 0.025 mv |
|               |           | R wave | 1.50 mv  |
|               |           | S wave | -0.6 mv  |
| Duration      | RR interval |       | 0.0138s  |

The simulator produced ECG waveform with different leads and generates as many arrhythmias as possible. Since, it is a MATLAB based simulator, it produces normal lead II ECG waveform. The simulated ECG waveform with the above parameters is shown in following Figure 3.

![ECG wave without noise](image1)

![ECG signal with noise](image2)

Figure 1: Simulated ECG signal waves

The database of the simulated ECG signal is applied as an input to the algorithm. According to the H-T algorithm, first the signal is transformed into non-sampled waves and then filtered. The waveform at each stage is produced accordingly. The detected parameters from the noise contaminated ECG signals using the H-T algorithm are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: ECG Parameters detected by H-T algorithm

| Heart beat: 71.43 | Amplitude | Q wave | 0.0223mv |
|                  |           | R wave | 1.49 mv  |
|                  |           | S wave | -0.56 mv |
| Duration         | RR interval |       | (0.6-.614)s = 0.014s |

The algorithm reliably detects QRS complexes using slope, amplitude, and width information. The signals are passed through several steps. First, in order to attenuate noise, the signal passes through a digital band pass filter composed of cascaded high pass and low pass filters. The next process after filtering is differentiation, which is followed by squaring, and moving window integration. Information about slope of the QRS is obtained in the derivative stage. The squaring process intensifies the slope of the frequency response curve of the derivative and helps restrict false positives caused by T waves with higher than usual spectral energies. The moving window integrator produces a signal that includes information about both the slope and width of the QRS complex. The algorithm is able to correctly detect QRS complexes in the presence of the severe noise typical of the ambulatory ECG environment. Another important feature of this algorithm is refractory blanking. Once a valid QRS complex is
recognized, there is a 200ms refractory period before the next one can be detected since QRS complexes cannot occur more closely than this physiologically. This refractory period eliminates multiple triggering on the same QRS complex during this time interval. To achieve a reliable diagnosis, a QRS detection algorithm must adapt each of its parameters with time and proper orientation of ECG’s morphology changes in a single patient.

In this algorithm, each threshold automatically adapts periodically, based upon the peak values of the signals and noise. The QRS complex of the electrocardiographic signal has the normal duration from 0.06s to 0.1s and provides information about the heart rate, the conduction velocity, and the condition of tissues within the heart and various abnormalities. The shape, duration and time of occurrence provide valuable information about the current state of the heart. The parameters are compared between the actual simulated ECG signals and noise contaminated signals after the parameter detection by the H-T algorithm. The parameters accuracy is shown in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detect Q peak</td>
<td>100-(0.023-0.0223)/0.023</td>
<td>99.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detect R peak</td>
<td>100-(1.5-1.495)/1.5</td>
<td>99.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detect S peak</td>
<td>100-(0.6-0.56)/0.6</td>
<td>99.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion
The ECG is an important diagnostic tool, which measures functional status of the heart. The importance of quality health care service has been a priority for many years, particularly in the developing countries. Recent technological advancement has brought about a considerable increase in the number of portable, battery operated, ECG instruments with wide range of capacity and application in hospitals and clinics worldwide. The test of accurate ECG pattern recognition drives improved monitoring of the patients heart disease and provide diagnosis when someone has chest pain or palpitations. Such type of mobile ECG recorder is essential for continuously monitoring cardiac patients. These types of long term monitoring capability plays a key role in heart disease analysis and automate ECG event classification in order to enhance further medical treatment. The authors intend to present certain recommendation that testing governing algorithms is vitally important to check the algorithms performance and its reliability at worst case scenarios. A simulated test-base method or non-invasive test procedures often ensure precise information and provide analyze of an algorithm performance impact on medical service.

In this test procedure, the input ECG signal is simulated by MATLAB for testing the Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm. The algorithm performances are evaluated in two ways. Test process uses noise contaminated simulated ECG signal to verify the detector. In terms of detection peaks the algorithm’s accuracy is 100% i.e. it detects QRS complex every time it has been tested. In terms of parameter extraction the algorithm’s accuracy is also found to be very high. In estimating Q, R and S peak, the accuracy reaches 99.96%, 99.97% and 99.93%, respectively. The Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm for QRS detection is found very reliable. With the use of Hamilton-Tompkins algorithm and software a reliable extraction of the characteristic ECG parameter which is essential for many ECG instruments can be achieved. The algorithm’s performance is comparable with other ECG parameter detection algorithms. Further clinical test may be required to verify algorithm functionality under at extreme situations.
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P-wave (0.08 – 0.10 seconds)

QRS (0.06 – 0.10 seconds)

P-R interval (0.12 – 0.20 seconds)

Q-T\(_c\) interval (≤ 0.044 seconds); 
\[ QT_c = QT/\sqrt{RR} \]

Figure A.1: A typical ECG tracing produced by different waves [2].